

REMARKS

By this Amendment, Applicants amend claim 1, cancel claims 9 and 10, and add claim 16. Thus, claims 1-8, and 11-16 are pending. Support for the amendments to claims 1 and 4 may be found in at least in paragraphs [0052] - [0067] of the specification. Support for new claim 16 may be found in at least paragraphs [0102] and [0108]. Claims 2, 3, 5-8, and 11-15 are only amended for form. No new matter is added. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and prompt allowance of the pending claims at least in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-5 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over U.S. Published Patent Application No. 2002-0013815 (Obradovich). As claims 9 and 10 are canceled, Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection 1-5 and 11-13.

Obradovich at least fails to disclose "a comparison unit that compares an address corresponding to the extracted telephone number with the extracted address" or "a search unit that searches for a facility corresponding to the extracted telephone number based on a result of the comparison," as recited in claim 1.

Obradovich only discloses that a user may enter a search request via the PCD 120 to search for a facility (paragraph [0040]). Based on the search request, the server 105 searches its own libraries or Internet 170 libraries for the facility (paragraph [0040]). The results of the search, including address, telephone number and directions are output to the user (paragraph [0040]). Importantly, Obradovich fails to disclose any sort of comparison of an address that corresponds to a telephone number extracted from a web page with an address extracted from a web page. Thus, the disclosure of Obradovich fails to disclose the features of claim 1.

Because the disclosure of Obradovich fails to disclose the features of claim 1, claim 1 is patentable over Obradovich. Further, claims 2-5, and 11-13 are patentable for at least the

reasons that claim 1 is patentable, as well as for the additional features that they recite. The rejection is thus improper. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Claims 6-8, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Obradovich in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,790,974 (Tognazzini). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

This rejection is premised upon the presumption that Obradovich discloses all of the features of claim 1. Because, as discussed above, Obradovich fails to disclose all of the features of claim 1, the rejection is improper. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

In view of at least the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance. Applicants earnestly solicit favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the pending claims.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Jesse O. Collier
Registration No. 53,839

JAO:JOC/hs

Date: May 24, 2007

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--